

Sums of Independent Functions in Symmetric Spaces with the Kruglov Property

S. V. Astashkin and F. A. Sukochev

Received April 10, 2006

KEY WORDS: *symmetric space, identically distributed functions, Poisson distribution, Kruglov operator, Orlicz space, Hilbert space, Lorentz space, Boyd indices.*

1. INTRODUCTION

It follows from the classical Khintchin inequality that, for any $p \in [1, \infty)$, the Rademacher system

$$\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad r_n(t) = \operatorname{sgn} \sin(2^n \pi t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

is equivalent in $L_p[0, 1]$ to a sequence of disjunctive “shifts” $\{\bar{r}_n(\cdot) := r_n(\cdot - n + 1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L_2(0, \infty)$. A remarkable generalization of this inequality to the class of symmetric spaces X of functions on $[0, 1]$ was obtained by Johnson and Schechtman [1]. They determined a symmetric space Z_X^2 on $[0, \infty)$ related to a given X and showed that an arbitrary sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of independent mean-zero functions, i.e., of functions such that

$$\int_0^1 f_k(t) dt = 0,$$

is equivalent in X to the sequence of their disjunctive “shifts” $\{\bar{f}_k(\cdot) := f_k(\cdot - k + 1)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in Z_X^2 under the condition that X contains $L_p[0, 1]$ for some $p < \infty$. We note that, in this case, the main problem is to prove the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right\|_X \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{f}_k \right\|_{Z_X^2} \quad (1)$$

(see the right-hand side of inequality (3) in [1, Theorem 1]).

Our method for obtaining estimates of type (1) is based on the study of a certain linear operator $\mathcal{K}$ (called the *Kruglov operator*) on $L_1[0, 1]$, which we recently introduced in [2] (see also [3]). Our approach is closely related to that developed somewhat earlier by Braverman [4] and based on the use of several ideas of Kruglov and the Kruglov probability constructions [5]. Moreover, our approach is closely related to stochastic integration with respect to symmetrized Poisson processes, which was used in [6] (see also [7]) to investigate whether a given symmetric space X on $[0, 1]$ is isomorphic to some symmetric space on the half-axis. We first introduce the necessary definitions in Secs 2 and 3. Then, in Sec. 4, we present the main result stating that, for a wide class of symmetric spaces X , the fact that inequality (1) holds for an arbitrary sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ of independent mean-zero functions is equivalent to the boundedness of the Kruglov operator $\mathcal{K}$ (see Theorems 1 and 2). As compared to [1], the advantage of our approach is that we can obtain inequality (1) also for several symmetric spaces X that do not contain $L_p[0, 1]$ for any $p < \infty$. The corresponding

examples of exponential Orlicz spaces are given in Remark 1 (we note that Theorem 1 from [1] cannot be used for this class of spaces).

In Secs. 5 and 6, we present several applications of the results obtained. In Sec. 5, we describe symmetric spaces X on $[0, 1]$ in which an arbitrary sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ of independent identically distributed functions spans a Hilbert subspace. In particular, we use one of its corollaries to give a negative answer to the question posed by Carothers and Dilworth [8] of whether any such sequence can span a Hilbert subspace in the Lorentz space $L_{2,q}$, $0 < q < 2$. We note that a similar answer to this question was earlier announced in [9], but the proof given there is not complete.

The results presented in Sec. 6 concern the well-known problem of whether a given symmetric space X on $[0, 1]$ can isomorphically be presented as a symmetric space on the half-axis (this problem was first posed in [10] and studied in detail in [6] and [7]). First, it turns out that, under the condition that the operator $\mathcal{H}$ is bounded, the space X contains a subspace isomorphic to some symmetric space on the half-axis. Earlier, a similar statement was proved only for a significantly narrower class of symmetric spaces with a nontrivial lower Boyd index. Second, Theorem 1 allows one to obtain a much simpler proof of the well-known theorem (see [6, Sec. 8] or [7, p. 203] stating that X is isomorphic to a symmetric space on the half-axis if the Boyd indices of the space X are nontrivial.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

The Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ of real-valued functions Lebesgue measurable on the interval $[0, \alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq \infty$, is said to be *symmetric* (or *rearrangement invariant*) if the relations $y \in E$ and $x^*(t) \leq y^*(t)$, $t \in [0, \alpha)$, imply that $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_E \leq \|y\|_E$. From now on, λ will denote the Lebesgue measure and $x^*(t)$ will be a nonincreasing right-continuous *permutation* $|x(s)|$, i.e.,

$$x^*(t) = \inf\{\tau \geq 0 : \lambda(\{s \in [0, \alpha) : |x(s)| > \tau\}) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

If E is a symmetric space (s.s.) on the interval $[0, \alpha)$, then the *dual* (or *associated*) space $E^\times$ consists of all measurable functions y such that

$$\|y\|_{E^\times} := \sup\left\{\int_0^\alpha |x(t)y(t)| dt : x \in E, \|x\|_E \leq 1\right\} < \infty.$$

If E^* is the space conjugate to E , then $E^\times \subset E^*$ and $E^\times = E^*$ if and only if E is a separable space. The natural embedding of E in its second dual $E^{\times\times}$ is an isometric surjection if and only if E is the *maximal* space (or has the *Fatou property*), i.e., the relations

$$\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq E, \quad f \in S[0, \alpha), \quad f_n \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, \alpha), \quad \sup_n \|f_n\|_E < \infty,$$

imply that

$$f \in E \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_E \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_E.$$

The norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ of the symmetric space E is said to be *order-semicontinuous* if the unit ball of E is closed in E with respect to the convergence almost everywhere. The last statement is equivalent to the fact that the natural embedding of E in its second dual is an isometry.

For any symmetric space E on $[0, \alpha)$, the following continuous embeddings hold:

$$L_1[0, \alpha) \cap L_\infty[0, \alpha) \subseteq E \subseteq L_1[0, \alpha) + L_\infty[0, \alpha).$$

If E is a symmetric space, then the function $\varphi_E(t) := \|\chi_A\|_E$, where $\lambda(A) = t$ and χ_A is the characteristic function of this set, is called the *fundamental function* of E . Another characteristic of a symmetric space is given by its Boyd indices. We recall their definition for spaces on $[0, 1]$.

If $0 < \tau < \infty$, then the extension operator $\sigma_\tau x(t) := x(t/\tau)\chi_{(0, \min(1, \tau))}(t)$ is bounded in any symmetric space X . The numbers

$$\alpha_X := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \|\sigma_\tau\|_{X \rightarrow X}}{\ln \tau} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_X := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|\sigma_\tau\|_{X \rightarrow X}}{\ln \tau},$$

are called the *Boyd indices* of the space X ; they always satisfy the condition $0 \leq \alpha_X \leq \beta_X \leq 1$.

The L_p -spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and their generalization, the Orlicz spaces, are important examples of symmetric spaces. Let Φ be the Orlicz function on $[0, \infty)$, i.e., let Φ be a continuous convex increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$. The Orlicz space $L_\Phi = L_\Phi[0, \alpha)$ consists of all functions f measurable on $[0, \alpha)$ and satisfying the condition that the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_\Phi} = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \int_0^\alpha \Phi \left(\frac{|f(t)|}{\rho} \right) dt \leq 1 \right\}$$

is finite. The Lorentz space $L_{p,q}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, is formed by all measurable $x(t)$ satisfying the condition that the expressions

$$\|x\|_{p,q} = \left(\int_0^\alpha (x^*(t)t^{1/p})^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \quad \text{if } q < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_{p,\infty} = \sup_{0 < t < \alpha} (x^*(t)t^{1/p})$$

are finite. The functional $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ is the norm if $1 \leq q \leq p$, and this functional is equivalent to the norm if $q > p$. If X is a symmetric space on $[0, 1]$, then

$$Z_X^2 := \{f \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(0, \infty) : \|f\|_{Z_X^2} := \|f^* \chi_{[0,1]}\|_X + \|f^* \chi_{[1,\infty)}\|_{L_2[1,\infty)} < \infty\}.$$

It is easy to show that the quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_{Z_X^2}$ is equivalent to the norm and hence Z_X^2 is a symmetric space on $[0, \infty)$.

As is well known, the theory of symmetric spaces has much in common with the theory of interpolation operators. Recall that the Banach space X is called an *interpolation* space with respect to the Banach pair (X_0, X_1) if any linear operator T bounded in the spaces X_0 and X_1 is also bounded in X (for details concerning symmetric spaces and interpolation operators, see the monographs [7], [11], [12]).

3. KRUGLOV PROPERTY AND THE OPERATOR $\mathcal{K}$

Let f be a measurable function (a random variable) on $[0, 1]$. By $\pi(f)$ we denote the random variable $\sum_{i=1}^N f_i$, where f_i are independent copies of f and N is a random variable Poisson distributed with parameter 1 and independent of the sequence $\{f_i\}$. Another (equivalent) definition of $\pi(f)$ is based on the use of its characteristic function

$$\theta_{\pi(f)}(t) = \exp \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx} - 1) d\mathcal{F}_f(x) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_f$ is the distribution function of f . The following property was first considered by Kruglov in [5] and was intensively studied and used by Braverman [4].

Definition 1. We shall say that a symmetric space X on $[0, 1]$ has the *Kruglov property* (which is denoted as $X \in \mathbb{K}$) if the fact that $f \in X$ implies that $\pi(f) \in X$.

In [2] (see also [3]), the authors of the present paper defined a positive linear operator $\mathcal{K}$ closely related to the Kruglov property (hence it was called the *Kruglov operator* there). More precisely, if X is a symmetric space on $[0, 1]$, then the operator $\mathcal{K}$ is a bounded operator in X if and only if $X \in \mathbb{K}$ [2, Lemma 3.3]. Simultaneously, the boundedness of $\mathcal{K}$ is a sufficient condition (and a necessary condition under rather wide assumptions) for the equivalence of sums of positive independent and identically distributed (as the sums) disjunctive functions [2, Theorem 3.5]. If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of functions defined on $[0, 1]$, then, in what follows, we let $\{\tilde{f}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ denote a sequence of disjunctive identically distributed (as f_k) functions on $[0, \infty)$.

4. COMPARISON OF SUMS OF MEAN-ZERO INDEPENDENT AND DISJUNCTIVE FUNCTIONS IN SYMMETRIC SPACES

The main result in this section can be treated as a generalization of the Khintchin inequality to the class of symmetric spaces with the Kruglov property and to arbitrary systems of independent mean-zero functions.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that X and Y are symmetric spaces on $[0, 1]$, $X \subseteq Y$, and at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) *the operator $\mathcal{K}: X \mapsto Y$ is bounded;*
- (ii) *the norm of Y is order-semicontinuous and $\mathcal{K}: X \mapsto Y^{\times \times}$ is bounded.*

Then there exists an $C > 0$ such that the condition

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right\|_Y \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{f}_k \right\|_{Z_X^2} \quad (2)$$

holds for each sequence of independent mean-zero functions $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1. As we mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 1 gives a sharper version of the corresponding part of Theorem 1 in [1] for normed spaces. In particular, let us consider the Orlicz spaces

$$\exp L_p := L_{N_p}, \quad \text{where} \quad N_p(t) := e^{t^p} - \sum_{k=0}^{[1/p]} \frac{t^{kp}}{k!}.$$

We note that $\exp L_p \in \mathbb{K}$ if $0 < p < 1$ (see [5] or [4]) and hence Theorem 1 can be used in these spaces. On the contrary, since $L_q \not\subseteq \exp L_p$ for any $q \in (0, \infty)$ and $p \in (0, 1)$, Theorem 1 in [1] “does not work” in this case.

If the norm of Y is order-semicontinuous, the converse statement also holds. More precisely, Theorem 1 and an analysis of the proof [2, Theorem 3.5] lead to the following result.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that X and Y are symmetric spaces on $[0, 1]$, $X \subseteq Y$, and the norm of Y is order-semicontinuous. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the operator $\mathcal{K}$ is a bounded operator from X into Y ;*
- (ii) *there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the inequality*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \right\|_Y \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{f}_k \right\|_X \quad (3)$$

holds for each sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq X$ of independent symmetrically and identically distributed functions satisfying the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda(\{f_k \neq 0\}) \leq 1. \quad (4)$$

As was shown in [13] (see also [7, pp. 134–136]), the Khintchin inequality holds in a symmetric space X if and only if X contains the separable part of the Orlicz space

$$\exp L_2 = L_{N_2}, \quad N_2(t) := \exp t^2 - 1.$$

In the general situation, when the class of sequences of independent mean-zero functions is considered, such a “minimal” symmetric spaces does not exist any longer. More precisely, we have the following statement, which is, in a sense, converse to the statement of the first part of Theorem 1 in [1].

Corollary 1. *Suppose that a symmetric space E has the following property: for any maximal symmetric space $X \supseteq E$, there exists a $C > 0$ such that (3) holds for each sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq X$ of independent symmetrically and identically distributed functions satisfying condition (4). Then E contains L_p for some $p \in [1, \infty)$.*

5. ℓ_2 -ESTIMATES FOR SEQUENCES OF INDEPENDENT IDENTICALLY DISTRIBUTED FUNCTIONS

Here we consider the following problem: in what symmetric spaces X on $[0, 1]$ does each sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ of independent identically distributed functions (briefly: an i.i.d. sequence) span a Hilbert subspace? In other words, does a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k f_k \right\|_X \leq C \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \{a_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}. \quad (5)$$

exist for each such a sequence? In fact, we are interested only in the right-hand inequality in (5), because the left-hand inequality holds in any symmetric space [4, Lemma 1, p. 52]. Moreover, if (5) holds, then it is easy to see [4, p. 71] that the space X satisfies the conditions

$$(a) \quad X^{\times \times} \supset \exp L_2; \quad (b) \quad f_1 \in L_2; \quad (c) \quad \int_0^1 f_1(t) dt = 0.$$

Thus, we can assume that $X \subseteq L_2$ and that the sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ consists of mean-zero functions. The following statement is the main result in this section.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that X is a symmetric space on $[0, 1]$ and also an interpolation space with respect to the pair (L_2, L_∞) . If either*

(i) $\mathcal{K}: X \hookrightarrow X$,

or

(ii) *the norm of X is order-semicontinuous and $\mathcal{K}: X \hookrightarrow X^{\times \times}$,*

then there exists an $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k f_k \right\|_X \leq C \|f_1\|_X \|a\|_{\ell_2}$$

for any i.i.d. sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ of mean-zero functions and any $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell_2$.

One can show that the condition stating that inequality (5) holds for an arbitrary i.i.d. sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ of mean-zero functions, which is formally weaker than the statement of Theorem 3, is, in fact, equivalent to this statement.

Corollary 2. *If the condition $X \subsetneq L_2$ for a symmetric space and the condition $\varphi_X(u) = u^{1/2}$ are satisfied, then there exists an i.i.d. sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ of mean-zero functions such that, in X , this sequence spans a subspace that is not isomorphic to the space ℓ_2 .*

Remark 2. In particular, if X is the Lorentz space $L_{2,q}$, $1 \leq q < 2$, then it follows from the last corollary that there exists an i.i.d. sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset L_{2,q}$ such that, in $L_{2,q}$, it spans a subspace that is not isomorphic to ℓ_2 . Thus, we give a negative answer to the question posed in [8, p. 157]. A similar answer was earlier given in [9]; but the proof of this result in that paper was not complete.

Moreover, the same example shows that conditions (a)–(c) on the symmetric space X , stated at the beginning of this section, do not guarantee that (5) holds for any arbitrary i.i.d. sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset X$ of mean-zero functions. Thus, a negative answer is given to the question posed in [4, p. 71].

6. ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN SYMMETRIC SPACES ON $[0, 1]$ AND $[0, \infty)$

Theorem 1 can be used to investigate whether a given symmetric space on a finite interval contains a subspace isomorphic to some symmetric space on the half-axis. The general isomorphism problem between symmetric spaces on $[0, 1]$ and symmetric spaces on $[0, \infty)$ (other than the L_p -spaces) was first stated by Mityagin [10]. This and other closely related questions were intensively studied in [6] (see also the monograph [7]) following the approach based on the use of stochastic integration with respect to symmetrized Poisson processes. Our approach is technically simpler, and a similar argument was used earlier in [14] in the special case of the Lorentz spaces $L_{p,q}$. The first part of the following theorem gives a sharper version of the earlier results concerning the isomorphic embedding of some symmetric space on the half-axis in a given symmetric space X on $[0, 1]$, in which the condition that the lower Boyd index of X is nontrivial is replaced by a weaker condition that the Kruglov operator $\mathcal{K}$ is bounded. The second part of the theorem is well known (see [6, Sec. 8] or [7, p. 203]), but our proof of this part (based on the use of Theorem 1) is much simpler.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a symmetric space on $[0, 1]$. Then*

- (i) *if $\mathcal{K}$ is a bounded operator in X , then X contains a subspace isomorphic to the symmetric space Z_X^2 ;*
- (ii) *if the Boyd indices of X are nontrivial, i.e., if $0 < \alpha_X \leq \beta_X < 1$, then the spaces X and Z_X^2 are isomorphic.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Australian Scientific Council.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman, *Ann. Probab.*, **17** (1989), 789–808.
2. S. V. Astashkin and F. A. Sukochev, *Israel J. Math.*, **145** (2005), 125–156.
3. S. V. Astashkin and F. A. Sukochev, *Mat. Zametki [Math. Notes]*, **76** (2004), no. 4, 483–489.
4. M. Sh. Braverman, *Independent Random Variables and Rearrangement Invariant Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
5. V. M. Kruglov, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. [Theory Probab. Appl.]*, **15** (1970), no. 2, 331–336.
6. W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman, and L. Tzafriri, *Symmetric Structures in Banach Spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 19, 1979.
7. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces. II. Function Spaces*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1979.
8. N. L. Carothers and S. J. Dilworth, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **84** (1989), 146–159.
9. S. Ya. Novikov, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Fiz. [Russian Phys. J.]* (1992), no. 4, 36–38.
10. B. S. Mityagin, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk [Russian Math. Surveys]*, **25** (1970), 59–103.
11. S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
12. C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
13. V. A. Rodin and E. M. Semenov, *Anal. Math.*, **1** (1975), 207–222.
14. N. L. Carothers and S. J. Dilworth, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **194** (1988), 221–226.

S. V. Astashkin

Samara State University

F. A. Sukochev

Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA, Australia