

FOURIER-RADEMACHER COEFFICIENTS OF FUNCTIONS IN REARRANGEMENT-INVARIANT SPACES

S. V. Astashkin

UDC 517.982.27

1. Preliminaries and Definitions

Let $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a uniformly bounded orthonormal system of functions on the interval $[0, 1]$. Given a function $x \in L_1[0, 1]$, denote its Fourier coefficients with respect to $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ by $c_k = c_k(x)$.

If F is the operator carrying a function x to the sequence of its Fourier coefficients, $Fx = (c_k(x))_{k=1}^\infty$, then F acts boundedly from L_2 into l_2 and, by Mercer's theorem [1, p. 181], from L_1 into c_0 . Therefore, for every $x \in L_1$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}(t, Fx; c_0, l_2) \leq A_1 \mathcal{H}(t, x; L_1, L_2) \quad (t > 0), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(t, x; X_0, X_1) = \inf \{ \|x_0\|_{X_0} + t \|x_1\|_{X_1} : x = x_0 + x_1, x_i \in X_i \}$$

is the Peetre $\mathcal{H}$ -functional defined for $x \in X_0 + X_1$ ((X_0, X_1) is an arbitrary Banach pair), $t > 0$, and $A_1 = \max\{1, \sup\{|w_k(t)| : k = 1, 2, \dots, t \in [0, 1]\}\}$.

By means of (1) the following estimate for the Fourier coefficients of a function $x \in L_1$ was obtained in [2]:

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n [c_k^*(x)]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq A_1 \left\{ \sqrt{n} \int_0^{1/n} x^*(t) dt + \left(\int_{1/n}^1 [x^*(t)]^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $x^*(t)$ and $(c_k^*(x))_{k=1}^\infty$ are decreasing rearrangements of the function $|x(u)|$ and the sequence $(|c_k(x)|)_{k=1}^\infty$.

Relation (2) can be treated as the Bessel inequality for summable functions; moreover, it is exact in a sense for the trigonometric system [2]. At the same time, (2) can be essentially strengthened for lacunary systems, for example, the Rademacher system

$$r_k(t) = \operatorname{sgn} \sin 2^{k-1} \pi t \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Recall that a Banach space X of measurable functions $x = x(t)$ on $[0, 1]$ is *rearrangement-invariant* if the relations $y^*(t) \leq x^*(t)$ and $x \in X$ imply that $y \in X$ and $\|y\|_X \leq \|x\|_X$. The theory of rearrangement-invariant spaces (RIS) is presented in detail, for instance, in the monograph [3].

Alongside the L_p spaces ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), important examples of RIS are Orlicz, Lorentz, and Marcinkiewicz spaces.

If $S(t) \geq 0$ is a continuous convex function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $S(0) = 0$ then the Orlicz space L_S consists of all $x = x(t)$ such that

$$\|x\|_{L_S} = \inf \left\{ u > 0 : \int_0^1 S \left(\frac{|x(t)|}{u} \right) dt \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

If $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ is an increasing concave function on $[0, 1]$ then the Marcinkiewicz space $M(\varphi)$ consists of all $x = x(t)$ such that

$$\|x\|_{M(\varphi)} = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t x^*(s) ds : 0 < t \leq 1 \right\} < \infty,$$

and the Lorentz space $\Lambda_p(\varphi)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) consists of all $x = x(t)$ for which

$$\|x\|_{\Lambda_p(\varphi)} = \left\{ \int_0^1 [x^*(t)]^p d\varphi(t) \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

In particular, we denote $\Lambda_1(\varphi)$ by $\Lambda(\varphi)$.

Suppose that $N(u) = e^{u^2} - 1$, L_N is the Orlicz space constructed for this function, and G is the closure of L_∞ in L_N . V. A. Rodin and E. M. Semënov [4] demonstrated that the system $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in a RIS X is equivalent to the standard basis in l_2 if and only if $X \supset G$. Equivalence of the system $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in X to the standard basis in l_2 implies existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|(a_k)\|_2 \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k \right\|_X \leq C \|(a_k)\|_2, \quad (3)$$

where $\|(a_k)\|_2 = (\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k^2)^{1/2}$. S. Montgomery-Smith [5] later strengthened this result by finding the distribution of the Rademacher sums. To this end, he used the $\mathcal{H}$ -functional for the Banach pair (l_1, l_2) .

Moreover, if the norm of the RIS X is order semicontinuous (i.e., the relations $x_n = x_n(t) \geq 0$ and $x_n(t) \uparrow x(t)$ almost everywhere on $[0, 1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ imply that $\|x_n\|_X \rightarrow \|x\|_X$) then the subspace generated by the Rademacher system is complemented in X if and only if $G \subset X \subset G'$ (see [6] or [7, 2.b.4]). Here and in the sequel, X' is the dual of a RIS X and consists of all $y = y(t)$ such that

$$\|y\|_{X'} = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 y(t)x(t) dt : \|x\|_X \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Furthermore, note that if $G \subset X \subset G'$ then the orthogonal projection

$$Px(t) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k(x)r_k(t)$$

is bounded in X ; here $c_k(x) = \int_0^1 x(t)r_k(t) dt$ are the Fourier-Rademacher coefficients of a function x .

The above results imply that the operator $Fx = (c_k(x))_{k=1}^\infty$ acts boundedly from G' into l_2 . Indeed, owing to (3) and boundedness of P in G' , we have

$$\|Fx\|_2 = \|(c_k(x))_{k=1}^\infty\|_2 \leq C\|Px\|_{G'} \leq C\|P\|_{G' \rightarrow G'}\|x\|_{G'}.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{H}$ -functional, in the case of the Rademacher system instead of (1) we thus obtain the following inequality:

$$\mathcal{H}(t, Fx; c_0, l_2) \leq A_2 \mathcal{H}(t, x; L_1, G') \quad (t > 0). \quad (4)$$

Let us replace the $\mathcal{H}$ -functionals in this relation with equivalent expressions.

The Orlicz space L_N is well known [8] to coincide with the Marcinkiewicz space $M(\psi_1)$ with $\psi_1(u) = u \log^{1/2} e/u$. Therefore, $G' = \Lambda(\psi_1)$ [3, p. 158]. Since L_1 is also the Lorentz space constructed for the function $\psi_0(u) = u$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x; L_1, G') \asymp \int_0^1 x^*(s) d(\min(s, t s \log^{1/2} e/s))$$

[3, p. 164] (this means validity of inequalities like (3) with some constant independent of $x \in L_1$ and $t > 0$). After simple transformations we conclude that

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x; L_1, G') \asymp \int_0^{\nu(t)} x^*(s) ds + t \int_{\nu(t)}^1 x^*(s) \log^{1/2} e/s ds, \quad (5)$$

where $\nu(t) = \exp(1 - 1/t^2)$.

Putting $t = 1/\sqrt{n}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), from (4), (5), and the relation

$$\mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, (a_k); c_0, l_2\right) \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n [a_k^*]^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

resulting from Holmstedt's formula [9], we arrive at the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n [c_k^*(x)]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq A_2 \left\{ \int_0^{e^{1-n}} x^*(s) ds + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{e^{1-n}}^1 x^*(s) \log^{1/2} e/s ds \right\}$$

or

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n [c_k^*(x)]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq A_2 \left\{ \sqrt{n} \int_0^{e^{1-n}} x^*(s) ds + \int_{e^{1-n}}^1 x^*(s) \log^{1/2} e/s ds \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where the constant $A_2 > 0$ is independent of $x \in L_1$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Using

$$x^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x^*(s) ds,$$

we can derive an inequality similar to (6) but with a sole expression on the right-hand side.

First of all, for every $0 < t \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^1 x^{**}(s) ds &= \int_0^t x^*(u) \int_t^1 \frac{ds}{s} du + \int_t^1 x^*(u) \int_u^1 \frac{ds}{s} du \\ &= \log \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x^*(u) du + \int_t^1 x^*(u) \log \frac{1}{u} du. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Note that for $0 < u \leq e^{-2}$ we have $\log^{1/2} e/u \leq \log 1/u$. Therefore,

$$\int_t^1 x^*(u) \log 1/u du \geq \int_t^{e^{-2}} x^*(u) \log 1/u du \geq \int_t^{e^{-2}} x^*(u) \log^{1/2} e/u du;$$

moreover, if $0 < t \leq e^{-3}$ then

$$\int_t^1 x^*(u) \log 1/u \, du \geq \int_{e^{-3}}^{e^{-2}} x^*(u) \log 1/u \, du \geq \frac{2(e-1)}{e^3} x^*(e^{-2}) \geq \frac{1}{e(e+1)} \int_{e^{-2}}^1 x^*(u) \log^{1/2} e/u \, du.$$

Thus, for $0 < t \leq e^{-3}$ we have

$$\int_t^1 x^*(u) \log 1/u \, du \geq \frac{1}{e(e+2)} \int_t^1 x^*(u) \log^{1/2} e/u \, du;$$

whence, by (7), for all $n \geq 4$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{e^{1-n}}^1 x^{**}(u) \, du &\geq (n-1) \int_0^{e^{1-n}} x^*(u) \, du + \frac{1}{e(e+2)} \int_{e^{1-n}}^1 x^*(u) \log^{1/2} e/u \, du \\ &\geq \frac{1}{e(e+2)} \left(\sqrt{n} \int_0^{e^{1-n}} x^*(u) \, du + \int_{e^{1-n}}^1 x^*(u) \log^{1/2} e/u \, du \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (6) we obtain

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n [c_k^*(x)]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq A_3 \int_{e^{1-n}}^1 x^{**}(u) \, du, \quad (8)$$

where the constant $A_3 > 0$ is independent of $x \in L_1$ and $n \geq 4$.

However, it is possible to obtain (and this is the main goal of the article) much more exact information on the Fourier–Rademacher coefficients of the functions in a RIS than (6) and (8).

Given measurable functions $x = x(t)$ and $y = y(t)$ on $[0, 1]$, put

$$(x, y) = \int_0^1 x(t)y(t) \, dt \quad (\text{if the integral exists}).$$

Similarly, given sequences $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ and $b = (b_k)_{k=1}^\infty$, put

$$(a, b) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k b_k \quad (\text{if the series converges}).$$

If

$$Ta(t) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k(t) \quad (9)$$

then the following relation holds for every function $x = x(t)$ and every sequence $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ with finitely many nonzero terms:

$$(Fx, a) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k(x) a_k = \int_0^1 x(t) \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k(t) \, dt = (x, Ta). \quad (10)$$

By duality, we easily obtain a description for the set of the Fourier–Rademacher coefficients of a RIS X if $X \subset G'$. First of all, due to the Khinchin's inequality [10] for the L_1 space, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|Ta\|_{L_2} \leq \|Ta\|_{L_1} \leq \|Ta\|_{L_2}.$$

Consequently, it follows from $\|Ta\|_{L_2} = \|a\|_2$ that T is an injective bounded operator from l_2 into L_1 . But then the adjoint T^* is a bounded operator from L_∞ onto l_2 [11, p. 20]. By (10), $T^* = F$ and hence $F(L_\infty) = l_2$. Since the embedding $X \supset L_\infty$ holds for every RIS X on $[0, 1]$ [3, p. 123], we certainly have $F(X) \supset l_2$. At the same time, as shown above, $F(G') \subset l_2$. We thus arrive at

Proposition 1. *If a rearrangement-invariant space X is contained in G' then the corresponding space of the Fourier–Rademacher coefficients is $F(X) = l_2$.*

We now turn to studying the more interesting case of $X \supset G'$.

Since the Rademacher system possesses the Sidon property, F is a surjective bounded operator from L_1 onto c_0 [1, p. 295]; i.e.,

$$F(L_1) = c_0. \quad (11)$$

At the same time, by the above proposition

$$F(G') = l_2. \quad (12)$$

Let us show that the surjectivity relations (11) and (12) can be “interpolated” for spaces intermediate between G' and L_1 , the spaces of the Fourier–Rademacher coefficients still quite definite rearrangement-invariant spaces of sequences.

Suppose that (X_0, X_1) and (Y_0, Y_1) are Banach pairs. A triple (X_0, X_1, X) of spaces such that $X_0 \cap X_1 \subset X \subset X_0 + X_1$ is an *interpolation triple* with respect to a triple (Y_0, Y_1, Y) , $Y_0 \cap Y_1 \subset Y \subset Y_0 + Y_1$, if every linear operator A , acting boundedly from X_0 into Y_0 and from X_1 into Y_1 , is a bounded operator from X into Y . Moreover, if

$$\|A\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq \max\{\|A\|_{X_i \rightarrow Y_i} : i = 0, 1\}$$

then (X_0, X_1, X) is said to be an *exact interpolation triple* with respect to the triple (Y_0, Y_1, Y) . If $X_i = Y_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) and $X = Y$ then we say that X is an (*exact*) *interpolation space* with respect to the pair (X_0, X_1) .

In what follows, an important role is played by the real interpolation method. We recall its definition.

Suppose that E is an ideal Banach space (IBS) of two-sided numeric sequences $a = (a_j)_{j=-\infty}^\infty$. If (X_0, X_1) is an arbitrary Banach pair then the space $(X_0, X_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}}$ of the $\mathcal{K}$ -method consists of all $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$\|x\| = \|(\mathcal{K}(2^j, x; X_0, X_1))_j\|_E < \infty.$$

The space $(X_0, X_1)_E^{\mathcal{J}}$ of the $\mathcal{J}$ -method contains all $x \in X_0 + X_1$ that admit the representation

$$x = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} u_j \quad (\text{in the sense of convergence in } X_0 + X_1), \quad (13)$$

where $u_j \in X_0 \cap X_1$. The norm in $(X_0, X_1)_E^{\mathcal{J}}$ is defined by

$$\inf_{\{u_j\}} \|(\mathcal{J}(2^j, u_j; X_0, X_1))_j\|_E,$$

where the greatest lower bound is calculated over all sequences $\{u_j\}_{j=-\infty}^\infty$ for which (13) holds.

If E is an IBS of two-sided sequences and $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers then the space $E(\alpha_k)$ consists of all $a = (a_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ such that $(a_k \alpha_k)_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \in E$, and $\|a\|_{E(\alpha_k)} = \|(a_k \alpha_k)\|_E$. Suppose that $E \supset \Delta(\vec{l}_\infty) = l_\infty(\max(1, 2^{-k}))$ ($\{0\} \neq E \subset \Sigma(\vec{l}_1) = l_1(\min(1, 2^{-k}))$). Then the mapping $(X_0, X_1) \mapsto (X_0, X_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}}$ ($(X_0, X_1) \mapsto (X_0, X_1)_E^{\mathcal{J}}$) determines an exact interpolation functor. This means that, for arbitrary Banach pairs (X_0, X_1) and (Y_0, Y_1) , the triple $(X_0, X_1, (X_0, X_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}})$ is an exact interpolation triple with respect to the triple $(Y_0, Y_1, (Y_0, Y_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}})$ (a similar result is valid for the $\mathcal{J}$ -method). The collection of all such functors is called the *real $\mathcal{K}$ -interpolation method* (*$\mathcal{J}$ -interpolation method*).

In particular, for $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we obtain the classical interpolation spaces

$$(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, p} = (X_0, X_1)_{l_p(2^{-k\theta})}^{\mathcal{K}} = (X_0, X_1)_{l_p(2^{-k\theta})}^{\mathcal{J}}.$$

2. The Main Results

As mentioned, the space G' coincides with the Lorentz space $\Lambda(u \log^{1/2} e/u)$. Therefore, it is q -concave for every $q > 1$ [12] and elastic in the sense of [13]. But then, by Corollary 5.10 of [13], interpolation in the Banach pair (G', L_1) is described by the $\mathcal{K}$ -method. This means that for every interpolation space X with respect to this pair we have

$$X = (G', L_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}} \quad (14)$$

for some IBS E of two-sided sequences which can be assumed to be an exact interpolation space with respect to the pair $\vec{l}_\infty = (l_\infty, l_\infty(2^{-k}))$ [14].

Theorem 1. *Suppose that X is a separable interpolation RIS with respect to the pair (G', L_1) such that (14) holds. Then the corresponding space $F(X)$ of the Fourier-Rademacher coefficients coincides with the separable space*

$$R = (l_2, c_0)_E^{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (15)$$

PROOF. By duality [11, p. 20], it suffices to verify that the adjoint operator F^* is injective from R^* into X^* .

First of all, we can take the BIS E in (14) so as to fulfill the conditions of the duality theorem for the real interpolation method [14, p. 128]:

$$\Delta(\vec{l}_\infty) \text{ is everywhere dense in } E \quad (16)$$

and

$$E \not\subset l_\infty \text{ and } E \not\subset l_\infty(2^{-k}). \quad (17)$$

To prove (16), denote by E_0 the closure of $\Delta(\vec{l}_\infty)$ in E and show that

$$X = (G', L_1)_{E_0}^{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (18)$$

Since X is separable, the space L_∞ is everywhere dense in X [3, p. 138]. Therefore, by the definition of the spaces of the $\mathcal{K}$ -method, for every $x \in X$, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset L_\infty$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\|_X = \|(\mathcal{K}(2^k, x_n - x; G', L_1))_k\|_E \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $t > 0$ the $\mathcal{K}$ -functional $\mathcal{K}(t, x; X_0, X_1)$ is a norm on the space $X_0 + X_1$. Consequently, the preceding relation implies that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\|(\mathcal{K}(2^k, x_n; G', L_1))_k - (\mathcal{K}(2^k, x; G', L_1))_k\|_E \rightarrow 0. \quad (19)$$

By the definition of the $\mathcal{K}$ -functional, we have $\mathcal{K}(2^k, x_n; G', L_1) \leq 2^k \|x_n\|_{L_1}$ for $k \leq 0$ and $\mathcal{K}(2^k, x_n; G', L_1) \leq \|x_n\|_{G'}$ for $k > 0$. Thereby for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the sequence $(\mathcal{K}(2^k, x_n; G', L_1))_{k=-\infty}^\infty$ lies

in $\Delta(\vec{l}_\infty)$ and (19) implies that $(\mathcal{K}(2^k, x; G', L_1))_{k=-\infty}^\infty \in E_0$; i.e., $x \in (G', L_1)_{E_0}^{\mathcal{K}}$. Relation (18) is proven; hence, we may assume that (16) is satisfied.

We now turn to (17). Since $\|y\|_{L_1} \leq 2\|y\|_{G'}$, for $y \in L_1$ and $0 < t \leq 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}t\|y\|_{L_1} \leq \mathcal{K}(t, y; G', L_1) \leq t\|y\|_{L_1}.$$

In view of the embedding $E \supset \Delta(\vec{l}_\infty) = l_\infty(\max(1, 2^{-k}))$, we may therefore assume that $E \supset c_0$ (otherwise we take $E + c_0$ instead of E , observing that $\Delta(\vec{l}_\infty)$ is also everywhere dense in $E + c_0$). We derive in particular that $E \not\subset l_\infty(2^{-k})$.

If $E \subset l_\infty$ then from completeness of G' with respect to L_1 we obtain

$$G' \subset X = (G', L_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}} \subset (G', L_1)_{l_\infty}^{\mathcal{K}} = G'$$

[15, p. 384]; i.e., $X = G'$. Similarly, $(l_2, c_0)_E^{\mathcal{K}} = l_2$ and the claim of the theorem is contained in (12). Thus, we can suppose that $E \not\subset l_\infty$ and conditions (17) are satisfied too.

Since $(G')^* = L_N$, with $N(u) = e^{u^2} - 1$ [16, p. 97]; applying the duality theorem for the real method [14, p. 128], we obtain

$$X^* = (L_N, L_\infty)_{E^+}^{\mathcal{J}} \quad \text{and} \quad R^* = (l_2, l_1)_{E^+}^{\mathcal{J}}, \quad (20)$$

where E^+ is the BIS of two-sided sequences that is dual to E with respect to the bilinear functional

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k b_k 2^{-k}, \quad a = (a_k)_{k=-\infty}^\infty, \quad b = (b_k)_{k=-\infty}^\infty;$$

i.e.,

$$\|a\|_{E^+} = \sup\{\langle a, b \rangle : \|b\|_E \leq 1\}.$$

It follows from (16) and (17) that l_2 is everywhere dense in R [14, p. 106]; hence, R is separable. Therefore, X^* and R^* coincide with X' and R' [17, p. 254], and $F^* = T$ in view of (10). Since E is an exact interpolation space with respect to the pair $\vec{l}_\infty$, it follows that E^+ is an exact interpolation space with respect to the pair $\vec{l}_1 = (l_1, l_1(2^{-k}))$. Moreover, (17) implies that $E^+ \not\subset l_1$ and $E^+ \not\subset l_1(2^{-k})$. Applying [14, p. 68], we can therefore replace the $\mathcal{J}$ -spaces in (20) with the $\mathcal{K}$ -spaces

$$X' = (L_N, L_\infty)_{\tilde{E}}^{\mathcal{K}} \quad \text{and} \quad R' = (l_2, l_1)_{\tilde{E}}^{\mathcal{K}},$$

where $\tilde{E} = (\vec{l}_\infty)_{E^+}^{\mathcal{J}}$. Owing to the equality $\mathcal{K}(t, x; X_0, X_1) = t\mathcal{K}(1/t, x; X_1, X_0)$, we hence obtain

$$X' = (L_\infty, L_N)_{\tilde{E}_1}^{\mathcal{K}} \quad \text{and} \quad R' = (l_1, l_2)_{\tilde{E}_1}^{\mathcal{K}},$$

where $\|(a_k)\|_{\tilde{E}_1} = \|(2^k a_{-k})_k\|_{\tilde{E}}$.

Since $L_\infty \subset G$ and G is a subspace of L_N , for $x \in G$ we have

$$\mathcal{K}(t, x; L_\infty, G) = \mathcal{K}(t, x; L_\infty, L_N). \quad (21)$$

Therefore, the space $Y = (L_\infty, G)_{\tilde{E}_1}^{\mathcal{K}}$ is isometrically embedded in X' . By Theorem 1 of [18] (see also [19]), T is an injective operator from R' into Y and hence into X' . As was observed in the beginning of the proof, this implies that the operator F itself is surjective from X into R ; i.e., $F(X) = R$. The theorem is proven.

Since, by Sparr's theorem [20], interpolation in the pair (l_2, c_0) is also described by the $\mathcal{K}$ -method, we can similarly prove the following assertion:

Theorem 2. Suppose that R is a separable Banach space of sequences which is an interpolation space with respect to the pair (l_2, c_0) and satisfies (15). Then the equality $F(X) = R$ holds for every separable RIS X satisfying (14).

The last assertion sharply contrasts with the case of $X \subset G'$ considered in Proposition 1.

Theorem 3. Suppose that X_0 and X_1 are separable interpolation RIS's on $[0, 1]$ with respect to the pair (G', L_1) . If the corresponding spaces $F(X_0)$ and $F(X_1)$ of the Fourier–Rademacher coefficients coincide then $X_0 = X_1$ and their norms are equivalent.

PROOF. As was observed before Theorem 1, interpolation in the pair (G', L_1) is described by the $\mathcal{K}$ -method. Therefore, there exist BIS's E_0 and E_1 of two-sided sequences which are exact interpolation spaces with respect to the pair $\tilde{l}_\infty$ and such that

$$X_i = (G', L_1)_{E_i}^{\mathcal{K}} \quad (i = 0, 1).$$

Then, by the hypothesis and Theorem 1,

$$F(X_0) = F(X_1) = (l_2, c_0)_{E_0}^{\mathcal{K}} = (l_2, c_0)_{E_1}^{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Denote this space by R .

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we may assume that E_i ($i = 0, 1$) satisfy (16) and (17). Consequently, T (see (9)) is an injective bounded operator from R' into X'_0 and X'_1 . In other words, for every sequence $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in R'$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k \right\|_{X'_0} \asymp \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k \right\|_{X'_1} \asymp \|a\|_{R'}.$$

If Y_i is the closure of L_∞ in X'_i ($i = 0, 1$) then

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k \right\|_{Y_0} \asymp \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k r_k \right\|_{Y_1}.$$

Since X'_0 and X'_1 are $\mathcal{K}$ -interpolation spaces with respect to the pair (L_N, L_∞) (see the proof of Theorem 1), in view of (21) Y_0 and Y_1 are interpolation spaces with respect to the pair (G, L_∞) . Consequently, they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3 of [18]; hence, $Y_0 = Y_1$. From the definition of the dual space we obtain $Y'_i = X''_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) and thus $X''_0 = X''_1$. In view of separability of X_0 and X_1 , we hence conclude that $X_0 = X_1$ and their norms are equivalent. The theorem is proven.

3. Concluding Remarks and Examples

REMARK 1. Suppose that $Z_0 = \{x \in L_1 : Fx = 0\}$ and $Z_1 = \{x \in G' : Fx = 0\}$. Then the quotient space G'/Z_1 is naturally embedded in the quotient space L_1/Z_0 , $\tilde{x} = x + Z_1 \mapsto x + Z_0$, and this embedding is continuous with respect to the quotient norm. Define the linear operator $\tilde{F}$ on L_1/Z_0 as follows: $\tilde{F}\tilde{x} = \tilde{F}(x + Z_0) = Fx = (c_k(x))_{k=1}^\infty$. In view of (11) and (12), this operator is an isomorphism between the spaces L_1/Z_0 and c_0 , as well as between G'/Z_1 and l_2 . Consequently,

$$\mathcal{K}(t, \tilde{x}; G'/Z_1, L_1/Z_0) \asymp \mathcal{K}(t, \tilde{F}\tilde{x}; l_2, c_0), \quad (22)$$

with some constant independent of $\tilde{x} \in L_1/Z_0$ and $t > 0$.

Suppose that a BIS E of two-sided sequences satisfies (16) and (17). Then, by Theorem 1, the operator $\tilde{F}$ is an isomorphism between the spaces $(G', L_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}}/Z_E$ and $(l_2, c_0)_E^{\mathcal{K}}$, where $Z_E = \{x \in (G', L_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}} : Fx = 0\}$. Therefore, by (22)

$$(G'/Z_1, L_1/Z_0)_E^{\mathcal{K}} = (G', L_1)_E^{\mathcal{K}}/Z_E$$

(the norms are equivalent).

REMARK 2. By Khinchin's inequality [1, pp. 153–154], the equality $F(L_p) = l_2$ is valid for $1 < p \leq \infty$. Nevertheless, the assertions of Theorems 1 and 2 become false if we replace G' in their statements with L_p for some p in this interval. Indeed, $(L_p, L_1)_{\theta, q} = L_q$ if $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is such that $q = p/(p\theta - \theta + 1)$ [21, p. 157]. At the same time, the equality $(l_2, c_0)_{\theta, q} = l_{r, q}$ holds for the same θ and q , where $r = 2q(p-1)/p(q-1)$ [21, p. 146]. Since $q < p$, we have $r > 2$ and therefore $F(L_q) = l_2 \neq l_{r, q}$.

The above results enable us to find rearrangement-invariant spaces of functions with given spaces of the Fourier–Rademacher coefficients. We exhibit two examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Suppose that $R = l_p$, $2 \leq p < \infty$. Since $l_p = (l_2, c_0)_{\theta, p}$, where $\theta = (p-2)/p$ [21, p. 146], the space X_p with $F(X_p) = l_p$ is determined by the formula

$$X_p = (G', L_1)_{\theta, p}.$$

The spaces G' and L_1 coincide with the respective Lorentz spaces $\Lambda(u \log^{1/2} e/u)$ and $\Lambda(u)$. Therefore,

$$G' = (L_1, L_\infty)_{l_1(2^{-k}(1-k)^{-1/2})}^{\mathcal{J}}, \quad L_1 = (L_1, L_\infty)_{l_1(2^{-k})}^{\mathcal{J}};$$

moreover, since we consider RIS of functions defined on $[0, 1]$, as the parameters of the $\mathcal{J}$ -method we take BIS's of sequences $a = (a_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ [22]. Applying the reiteration theorem for the $\mathcal{J}$ -method, we now infer that X_p coincides with the Lorentz space $\Lambda_p(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p(u) = u \log^{1/p} e/u$.

EXAMPLE 2. Suppose that $R = \lambda(1/k)$ is the Lorentz space of all sequences $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ for which the norm

$$\|a\|_{\lambda(1/k)} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{a_k^*}{k}$$

is finite. This space is separable. Choosing a suitable BIS E of sequences, we can demonstrate that $(l_2, c_0)_E^{\mathcal{K}} = \lambda(1/k)$. However, we proceed alternatively, using Example 2 of [18] (see [19] for details).

Observe that

$$\lambda(1/k)^* = \lambda(1/k)' = l_1(\log) \quad \text{and} \quad l_1(\log)' = \lambda(1/k), \quad (23)$$

where the Marcinkiewicz space $l_1(\log)$ consists of all sequences $a = (a_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ for which the norm

$$\|a\|_{l_1(\log)} = \sup_{k=1,2,\dots} \log_2^{-1}(2k) \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^*$$

is finite [23, p. 190]. Since $l_1(\log)$ is an interpolation space with respect to the pair (l_1, l_2) (see Example 2 of [18]), $\lambda(1/k)$ is an interpolation space with respect to the pair (l_2, c_0) by (23). Thus, by Theorem 2, F is a surjective operator from some separable RIS X to $\lambda(1/k)$. Moreover, its adjoint T is an injection from $\lambda(1/k)' = l_1(\log)$ to X' . From the results of [18] we then obtain $X' = M(\varphi)$, where $\varphi(u) = u \log_2 \log_2(16/u)$. In view of separability of X , we have $X = \Lambda(\varphi)$ [3, p. 138]. Thus, $F(\Lambda(\varphi)) = \lambda(1/k)$.

References

1. Kaczmarz S. and Steinhaus H., Theory of Orthogonal Series [Russian translation], Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1958).
2. Ovchinnikov V. I., Raspopova V. D., and Rodin V. A., "Exact estimates for the Fourier coefficients of integrable functions and $\mathcal{K}$ -functionals," Mat. Zametki, **32**, No. 3, 292–302 (1982).
3. Kreĭn S. G., Petunin Yu. I., and Semĕnov E. M., Interpolation of Linear Operators [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1978).
4. Rodin V. A. and Semyonov E. M., "Rademacher series in symmetric spaces," Anal. Math., **1**, No. 3, 207–222 (1975).

5. Montgomery-Smith S., "The distribution of Rademacher sums," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **109**, No. 2, 517-522 (1990).
6. Rodin V. A. and Semenov E. M., "Complementability of the subspace generated by the Rademacher system in a rearrangement-invariant space," Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., **13**, No. 2, 91-92 (1979).
7. Lindenstrauss J. and Tzafriri L., Classical Banach Spaces. Vol. 2: Function Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1979).
8. Rutitskiĭ Ya. B., "On some classes of measurable functions," Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **20**, No. 4, 205-208 (1965).
9. Holmstedt T., "Interpolation of quasi-normed spaces," Math. Scand., **26**, No. 1, 177-199 (1970).
10. Szarek S. J., "On the best constants in the Khinchin inequality," Studia Math., **58**, 197-208 (1976).
11. Pietsch A., Operator Ideals [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1982).
12. Novikov S. Ya., "Cotype and type of Lorentz function spaces," Mat. Zametki, **32**, No. 3, 213-221 (1982).
13. Kalton N. J., "Calderon couples of rearrangement invariant spaces," Studia Math., **103**, No. 3, 233-277 (1993).
14. Brudnyi Yu. A. and Kruglyak N. Ya., "Functors of real interpolation," submitted to VINITI, 1981, No. 2620-81.
15. Ovchinnikov V. I., "The method of orbits in interpolation theory," Math. Rep. Ser. 1, No. 2, 349-515 (1984).
16. Krasnosel'skiĭ M. A. and Rutitskiĭ Ya. B., Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces [in Russian], Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1958).
17. Kantorovich L. V. and Akilov G. P., Functional Analysis [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1977).
18. Astashkin S. V., "On series with respect to the Rademacher system in rearrangement-invariant spaces 'close' to L_∞ ," Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., **32**, No. 3, 62-65 (1998).
19. Astashkin S. V., "On interpolation of subspaces of rearrangement-invariant spaces generated by Rademacher system," Izv. Ross. Akad. Estestv. Nauk Mat. Mat. Model. Inform. Upravlen., **1**, No. 1, 18-35 (1997).
20. Sparr G., "Interpolation of weighted L_p -spaces," Studia Math., **62**, 229-271 (1978).
21. Triebel H., Interpolation Theory: Function Spaces; Differential Operators [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1980).
22. Dmitriev V. I., Kreĭn S. G., and Ovchinnikov V. I., "Fundamentals of the interpolation theory of linear operators," in: Mezhdvuz. Temat. Sb. Nauch. Trudov [in Russian], Yaroslavsk. Univ., Yaroslavl', 1977, pp. 31-74.
23. Gokhberg I. Ts. and Kreĭn M. G., An Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Space [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1965).