

Disjointly Strictly Singular Inclusions of Symmetric Spaces

S. V. Astashkin

UDC 517.982.27

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the disjoint strict singularity of inclusions of symmetric spaces of functions on an interval is considered. A condition for the presence of a "gap" between spaces sufficient for the inclusion of one of these spaces into the other to be disjointly strictly singular is found. The condition is stated in terms of fundamental functions of spaces and is exact in a certain sense. In parallel, necessary and sufficient conditions for an inclusion of Lorentz spaces to be disjointly strictly singular (and similar conditions for Marcinkiewicz spaces) are obtained and certain other assertions are proved.

KEY WORDS: Banach space, disjointly strictly singular operators, inclusion operators, inclusions of symmetric spaces, fundamental function, Lorentz spaces, Marcinkiewicz spaces, Orlicz spaces.

Introduction

Recall that a bounded linear operator T from a Banach space X into a Banach space Y is called *strictly singular* (or a *Kato operator*) if X does not contain an infinite-dimensional subspace Z such that the restriction of T to Z is an isomorphism.

In recent decades the class of strictly singular operators has been extensively studied (see the references cited in, e.g., the monograph [1]). One of the historically first results important for our purposes is the Grothendieck theorem on the strict singularity of the identity inon operator from $L_\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ into $L_p(\Omega, \mu)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and μ is a probability measure on Ω (see [2] or [3, Theorem 5.2]). However, as a rule, inons of symmetric spaces (the definition is given below) are not strictly singular because of the existence of "through" subspaces (such as the subspace generated by the Rademacher functions [4]). In part because of this, the close notion of disjointly strictly singular operator was introduced in 1989 [5].

A bounded linear operator T from a Banach lattice X into a Banach space Y is called *disjointly strictly singular* (or *has the DSS property*) if there exists no sequence of nonzero disjoint vectors $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X such that the restriction of T to their closed linear hull $[x_n]$ is an isomorphism.

Clearly, any strictly singular operator is a DSS operator. A simple example shows that the converse is not true. For instance, the identity inon operator $I: L_p[0, 1] \rightarrow L_q[0, 1]$ ($1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$) has the DSS property, because the closed linear hull in L_r of disjoint functions $x_n \in L_r[0, 1]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_r ($1 \leq r \leq \infty$): $[x_n]_r \approx \ell_r$. However, if $p < \infty$, then the Khinchin inequality [6] implies that $[r_n]_p \approx [r_n]_q \approx \ell_2$ (r_n are the Rademacher functions), and therefore I is not strictly singular. At the same time, it is easy to show that if X has a Schauder basis of disjoint vectors, then the class of DSS operators on X coincides with the class of strictly singular operators [7].

The notion of DSS operator proved important in studies of geometric properties of function spaces. For example, the existence of operators without the DSS property makes it possible to construct complemented subspaces that admit "nonstandard" projectors onto them [5, 7].

The goal of this paper is to study the following question: when does the identity inon operator (throughout, we denote it by I) from one symmetric space into another have the DSS property. The conditions found are stated in terms of fundamental functions of these spaces.

If $z = z(w)$ is measurable on $[0, 1]$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure μ , then we call the function $n_z(\tau) = \mu\{w : |z(w)| > \tau\}$ ($\tau > 0$) the *distribution function* of z . Two functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are called *equimeasurable* if $n_x(\tau) = n_y(\tau)$ for $\tau > 0$.

Recall that the Banach space E of measurable functions on $[0, 1]$ is called *symmetric* (briefly is an *SS*) if the following conditions hold:

- (1) if $y \in E$ and $|x(t)| \leq |y(t)|$, then $x \in E$ and $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$;
- (2) if $y \in E$ and functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are equimeasurable, then $x \in E$ and $\|x\| = \|y\|$.

The fundamental function of an SS E is defined by $f_E(t) = \|\chi_{(0,t)}\|_E$, where, as usual,

$$\chi_U(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in U, \\ 0, & t \notin U. \end{cases}$$

The function $f_E(t)$ is quasiconcave on $(0, 1]$ [8, p. 137], i.e., it is nonnegative, increases, and $f(t)/t$ decreases. As is known (see, e.g., [8, p. 70]), such a function is equivalent to its least concave majorant. Throughout, G denotes the class of all positive increasing functions concave on $(0, 1]$.

An important example of an SS is an Orlicz space. Let $N(t)$ be an increasing concave function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $N(0) = 0$ and $N(\infty) = \infty$. The Orlicz space L_N consists of all functions $x = x(t)$ measurable on $[0, 1]$ and such that

$$\int_T N\left(\frac{|x(t)|}{u}\right) d\mu < \infty$$

for some $u > 0$; the norm of this space is

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ u > 0 : \int_T N\left(\frac{|x(t)|}{u}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Direct calculation shows that the fundamental function of the space L_N is $f_N(t) = 1/N^{-1}(1/t)$ ($N^{-1}(u)$ is the inverse of $N(u)$) [9].

In [5], the following disjoint strict singularity theorem for inclusions of L_N into L_M is proved.

Theorem. *If $L_N \subset L_M$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the inclusion $I: L_N \rightarrow L_M$ is a DSS operator;*
- (2) *for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $K > 0$, there exist $1 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ and $c_1 > 0, \dots, c_n > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i N(tx_i) \geq K \sum_{i=1}^n c_i M(tx_i) \quad \text{for } t \geq 1.$$

Let us show that condition (2) follows from the relation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_M(t)}{f_N(t)} = 0,$$

where f_N and f_M are the fundamental functions of the respective Orlicz spaces. Indeed, this relation implies that $N^{-1}(t) \leq hM^{-1}(t)$ for an arbitrary positive $h \leq 1$ and $t \geq t_0$ and, since $N(t)$ is convex, $M(t) \leq N(ht) \leq hN(t)$ if $t \geq M^{-1}(t_0)$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(t)}{N(t)} = 0,$$

and condition (2) holds.

Quite naturally, this observation leads us to the following general problem.

Suppose that functions $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in G$ satisfy the condition

$$(A) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} = 0$$

and are, respectively, the fundamental functions of symmetric spaces E and F such that $E \subset F$. Does the identity inclusion operator $I: E \rightarrow F$ have the DSS property?

In what follows, we show that the answer to this question for “classical” symmetric spaces (such as the Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces) and for Orlicz spaces is positive. Moreover, it is so for an inclusion of a Lorentz space into an arbitrary SS (and, vice versa, of an arbitrary SS into a Marcinkiewicz space).

However, in the general case, this is not true: this paper contains an example of two symmetric spaces E and F such that $E \subset F$ and their fundamental functions satisfy condition (A), but the operator $I: E \rightarrow F$ is not DSS.

At the same time, it is possible to state a condition on fundamental functions stronger than (A) under which the answer to the stated question is positive for all symmetric spaces. First, recall the definition of the dilation of a function.

For a positive function f on $(0, 1]$, its *dilation* $\mathcal{M}_f(t)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_f(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{f(st)}{f(s)} : 0 < s \leq \min \left(1, \frac{1}{t} \right) \right\} \quad (t > 0).$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_f(t)$ is semimultiplicative, there exist numbers

$$\gamma_f = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \mathcal{M}_f(t)}{\ln t} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_f = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \mathcal{M}_f(t)}{\ln t},$$

which are called, respectively, the *lower* and *upper dilations* of the function f . If $\varphi \in G$, then we have $0 \leq \gamma_\varphi \leq \delta_\varphi \leq 1$ [8, p. 76].

Let us introduce one more condition on the functions φ and ψ from the class G :

(B) $\gamma_{\psi/\varphi} > 0$.

The definition of lower dilation readily implies that condition (A) follows from (B). The converse, of course, is not true: it suffices to take for φ and ψ functions differing by a logarithmic factor (see also the proof of Theorem 3).

We shall show that, if (B) holds, then the operator $I: E \rightarrow F$ will be a DSS operator for arbitrary symmetric spaces E and F , $E \subset F$, with fundamental functions φ and ψ , respectively. This result generalizes and simultaneously refines a similar theorem for the Orlicz spaces proved in [7]. In parallel, we shall show that condition (A) is necessary and sufficient for the identity inclusion operator from one Lorentz space into another to have the DSS property (and a similar assertion for Marcinkiewicz spaces). These results also supplement the theorem for Orlicz spaces proved in [5] and cited above.

§1. The inclusions $\Lambda(\varphi) \subset F$ and $E \subset M(\theta)$

For $\varphi \in G$, the Lorentz space $\Lambda(\varphi)$ consists of all functions $x = x(s)$ measurable on $[0, 1]$ and such that

$$\|x\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)} = \int_0^1 x^*(s) d\varphi(s) < \infty,$$

where $x^*(s)$ is a decreasing left-continuous permutation of the function $|x(s)|$ [8, p. 83]. Clearly, the fundamental function of the Lorentz space $\Lambda(\varphi)$ is $f_{\Lambda(\varphi)}(t) = \varphi(t)$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that functions $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in G$ satisfy (A) and F is an SS on $[0, 1]$ with fundamental function $\psi(t)$. Then $\Lambda(\varphi) \subset F$ and the identity inclusion $I: \Lambda(\varphi) \rightarrow F$ is a DSS operator.*

Proof. Condition (A) and the continuity of the concave functions φ and ψ at $t > 0$ imply that $\psi(t) \leq C_1 \varphi(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. By the definition of the norm of a Lorentz space, we have $\Lambda(\varphi) \subset \Lambda(\psi)$. The Lorentz space with a given fundamental function is minimal among the symmetric spaces with the same fundamental function [7, p. 160]; therefore, $\Lambda(\varphi) \subset F$.

Suppose that $I: \Lambda(\varphi) \rightarrow F$ does not have the DSS property. Then there exists a sequence of nonzero disjoint functions $x_n \geq 0$ such that

$$\|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)} \leq C_2 \|x_n\|_F \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

By condition (A), for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists an $h > 0$ such that

$$\psi(t) \leq \varepsilon \varphi(t) \quad (2)$$

for all positive $t < h$. Choose N so that, for $n \geq N$, $\mu(g_n) < h$, where $g_n = \{t \in [0, 1] : x_n(t) \neq 0\}$.

The subset of finite-valued functions is dense in any Lorentz space on $[0, 1]$ [8, p. 149]. Therefore, for each $n \geq N$, there exists a function

$$y_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} a_k^n \chi_{e_k^n}, \quad \text{where } a_k^n \geq 0, \quad e_1^n \supset e_2^n \supset \dots \supset e_{m_n}^n, \quad \text{and } \mu(e_1^n) < h,$$

for which

$$\max(\|x_n - y_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)}, \|x_n - y_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)}) < \varepsilon \min(\|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)}, \|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)}). \quad (3)$$

Hence $\|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)} - \|y_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)} \leq \varepsilon \|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)}$, and [8, p. 160] and (2) imply that

$$\|x_n\|_F \leq \|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \|y_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)} = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} a_k^n \psi(\mu(e_k^n)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} a_k^n \varphi(\mu(e_k^n)) = \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \|y_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)}.$$

In addition, by (3), $\|y_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)}$. Thus

$$\|x_n\|_F \leq \frac{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} \|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)}.$$

This inequality with an $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} > C_2$$

contradicts (1). $\square$

Corollary 1. Suppose that $\varphi \in G$, $\psi \in G$, and $\psi(t) \leq C_1 \varphi(t)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) (A) holds;
- (2) the inclusion $I: \Lambda(\varphi) \rightarrow \Lambda(\psi)$ is a DSS operator;
- (3) there exist no sequence of disjoint functions x_n and no constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\varphi)} \leq C_2 \|x_n\|_{\Lambda(\psi)} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

Proof. The implications (1) $\implies$ (2) and (2) $\implies$ (3) follow from Theorem 1 and the definition of a DSS operator, respectively.

Suppose that condition (A) does not hold, i.e., that

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} > 0.$$

Then there exist a sequence $\{t_k\} \subset (0, 1]$ and a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n \leq 1$ and $\varphi(t_n) \leq C_2 \psi(t_n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The functions $x_n = \chi_{e_n}$, where $e_n \subset [0, 1]$ are disjoint and $\mu(e_n) = t_n$, satisfy (4). Therefore, (3) implies (1); this completes the proof of Corollary 1. $\square$

Let θ be a function from G . The Marcinkiewicz space $M(\theta)$ consists of all functions $x = x(s)$ measurable on $[0, 1]$ and such that

$$\|x\|_{M(\theta)} = \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} (\theta(t))^{-1} \int_0^t x^*(s) ds < \infty.$$

The fundamental function of the space $M(\theta)$ is $f_{M(\theta)}(t) = \tilde{\theta}(t) = t/\theta(t)$.

Theorem 2. *Let the functions $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in G$ satisfy condition (A). If E is an SS on $[0, 1]$ with fundamental function $\varphi(t)$, then $E \subset M(\tilde{\psi})$ and the identity inclusion $I: E \rightarrow M(\tilde{\psi})$ is a DSS operator.*

Proof. Since any Marcinkiewicz space is maximal among all symmetric spaces with the same fundamental function [8, p. 162], we have $E \subset M(\tilde{\psi})$. By condition (A), $\psi(t) \leq C_1\varphi(t)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$; therefore, by the definition of a Marcinkiewicz space, $M(\tilde{\varphi}) \subset M(\tilde{\psi})$. Hence $E \subset M(\tilde{\psi})$.

Suppose that $I: E \rightarrow M(\tilde{\psi})$ does not have the DSS property. Then, in particular, there exists a sequence of disjoint functions x_n such that

$$\|x_n\|_{M(\tilde{\psi})} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n\|_{M(\tilde{\varphi})} \leq C_2 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

Choose a $t_k \in (0, 1]$ for which

$$\int_0^{t_k} x_k^*(s) ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}(t_k) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since the functions x_k are disjoint, we can assume that $t_k \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$\|x_k\|_{M(\tilde{\varphi})} \geq (\tilde{\varphi}(t_k))^{-1} \int_0^{t_k} x_k^*(s) ds \geq \frac{\varphi(t_k)}{2\psi(t_k)}.$$

By (A), $\|x_k\|_{M(\tilde{\varphi})} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts condition (5).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Suppose that $\varphi \in G$, $\psi \in G$, and $\psi(t) \leq C_1\varphi(t)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) (A) holds;
- (2) the inclusion $I: M(\tilde{\varphi}) \rightarrow M(\tilde{\psi})$ is a DSS operator;
- (3) there exist no sequence of disjoint functions x_n and no constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|x_n\|_{M(\tilde{\varphi})} \leq C_2 \|x_n\|_{M(\tilde{\psi})} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The proof of Corollary 2 is similar to the proof of Corollary 1.

Corollary 3. *For an arbitrary SS $E \neq L_1$ on $[0, 1]$, the inclusion $I: E \rightarrow L_1$ is a DSS operator.*

Proof. First, $L_1 = M(1)$, and an arbitrary SS E is embedded in L_1 [8, p. 124]. If $f_E(t) = \varphi(t)$, then the function $t/\varphi(t)$ increases, because φ is concave. Therefore, condition (A) is violated if and only if $\varphi(t) \approx t$ (i.e., if and only if $C_1 t \leq \varphi(t) \leq C_2 t$ for some $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$), and $E = L_1$. It remains to apply Theorem 2. $\square$

Remark 1. The assertion of Corollary 3 was proved in [10] in a different way.

Remark 2. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3 and applying Theorem 1, we can readily show that the inclusion $I: L_\infty \rightarrow E$ is a DSS operator for any SS $E \neq L_\infty$. Moreover, it is shown in [11] that this operator is even strictly singular. This generalizes the Grothendieck theorem mentioned in the introduction.

In the next section we show that, generally, condition (A) is not sufficient for the inclusion of an SS with fundamental function φ into an SS with fundamental function ψ to have the DSS property.

§2. An example of symmetric spaces E and F such that $E \subset F$ and their fundamental functions satisfy condition (A), but $I: E \rightarrow F$ is not a DSS operator

Theorem 3. *There exist two symmetric spaces E and F on $[0, 1]$ with fundamental functions φ and ψ , respectively, such that $E \subset F$, φ and ψ satisfy condition (A), and the operator $I: E \rightarrow F$ does not have the DSS property.*

Proof. Let the SS E be the Marcinkiewicz space $M(\tilde{\psi})$ with $\tilde{\psi}(t) = t/\psi(t)$, where

$$\psi(t) = t^{1/2} \log_2^{1/2} \frac{4}{t}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

It is readily verified that ψ is an increasing concave function on $(0, 1]$ and $\gamma_\psi = \delta_\psi = 1/2$. Therefore, [12, Chap. 6, Hint to Ex. 6],

$$\|x\|_{M(\tilde{\psi})} \approx \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \{x^*(t)\psi(t)\}. \quad (6)$$

Let us define the space F . We put $b_k = (k+2)^{-1/2} 2^{k/2}$ and $z_k(t) = b_k \chi_{(0, 2^{-k}]}(t)$ and define a sequence of numbers $n_0 = 1 < n_1 < \dots < n_m < \dots$ by setting

$$n_{m+1} = \max \left\{ n = 1, 2, \dots : \sum_{k=n_m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+2} \leq 1 \right\} \quad (7)$$

and a sequence of functions $w_m = w_m(t)$ by setting

$$w_m(t) = \max_{n_m \leq k < n_{m+1}} z_k(t), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

Since $\{b_k\}$ increases, (7) implies that the norms of w_m in L_2 satisfy the inequalities

$$\|w_m\|_2^2 \geq \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} b_k^2 2^{-k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} \frac{1}{k+2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \quad \|w_m\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} b_k^2 2^{-k} = \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} \frac{1}{k+2} \leq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \|w_m\|_2 \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

Consider $\chi_b = b^{-1/2} \chi_{(0, b]}$, $\bar{w}_m = w_m / \|w_m\|_2$, and $V = \{\chi_b\}_{0 < b \leq 1} \cup \{\bar{w}_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$. Let F be the set of all functions $x = x(t)$ measurable on $[0, 1]$ and satisfying

$$\|x\| = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 x^*(t) v(t) dt : v \in V \right\} < \infty.$$

Then F is an SS on $[0, 1]$ as the intersection of Lorentz spaces determined by the functions $\int_0^t v(s) ds$ with $v \in V$. In addition, the definition of F implies that $\|x\|_{M(t^{1/2})} \leq \|x\|_F \leq \|x\|_2$. Therefore, the fundamental functions $f_E(t) = \psi(t)$ and $f_F(t) = t^{1/2}$ of the spaces E and F satisfy condition (A).

Let us prove that

$$M(\tilde{\psi}) \subset F. \quad (9)$$

By (6), it suffices to show that $1/\psi \in F$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \chi_b(t) \frac{dt}{\psi(t)} &= 2b^{-1/2} \int_0^b \frac{d(t^{1/2})}{\log_2^{1/2}(4/t)} \leq 2 \quad \text{for } 0 < b \leq 1, \\ \int_0^1 w_m(t) \frac{dt}{\psi(t)} &\leq \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} b_k \int_0^{2^{-k}} \frac{dt}{\psi(t)} = 2 \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} b_k \int_0^{2^{-k}} \frac{d(t^{1/2})}{\log_2^{1/2}(4/t)} \leq 2 \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} \frac{1}{k+2} \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (8) and the definition of F imply that $\|1/\psi\|_F \leq 4$, which proves (9).

Next, we put $D_m = (2^{-n_{m+1}}, 2^{-n_m}]$ and

$$v_m(t) = w_m(t)\chi_{D_m}(t) = \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} b_k \chi_{(2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k}]}(t) \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, \dots$$

The functions v_m are disjoint. Let us show that the norms of E and F are equivalent on their linear hull.

Suppose that

$$v(t) = \sum_{m=0}^r a_m v_m(t).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_m \geq 0$. Consider

$$w(t) = \max_{0 \leq m \leq r} a_m w_m(t).$$

The function $w(t)$ monotonically decreases on $(0, 1]$, and $v(t) \leq w(t)$. Therefore, by (6),

$$\|v\|_E \leq \|w\|_E \leq C \max_{0 \leq m \leq r} \left\{ a_m \max_{n_m \leq k < n_{m+1}} b_k \psi(2^{-k}) \right\}.$$

Since $b_k \psi(2^{-k}) = 1$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we obtain

$$\|v\|_E \leq C \max_{0 \leq m \leq r} a_m. \quad (10)$$

Now, let us estimate $\|v\|_F$ from below. By (7), for any $m = 0, 1, \dots, r$ we have

$$\int_0^1 v_m^*(t) w_m(t) dt \geq \int_0^1 (v_m^*(t))^2 dt = \int_0^1 v_m^2(t) dt = \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} b_k^2 2^{-k-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=n_m}^{n_{m+1}-1} \frac{1}{k+2} \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Hence (8) implies that $\|v_m\|_F \geq 1/4$. Therefore, by (10),

$$\|v\|_F \geq \max_{0 \leq m \leq r} \{a_m \|v_m\|_F\} \geq \frac{1}{4} \max_{0 \leq m \leq r} a_m \geq \frac{\|v\|_E}{4C}.$$

This together with (9) means that the norms of the spaces E and F are equivalent on the linear hull of the set of functions v_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots$). Hence there exists a $B > 0$ such that, for arbitrary a_m ,

$$B^{-1} \left\| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m v_m \right\|_F \leq \left\| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m v_m \right\|_E \leq B \left\| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m v_m \right\|_F.$$

In other words, the identity inclusion operator $I: E \rightarrow F$ does not have the DSS property.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

Remark 3. Theorem 3 shows that relation (A) does not guarantee the presence of a “gap” between spaces sufficient for the corresponding identity inclusion operator to have the DSS property. On the other hand, simple examples show that, even for symmetric spaces with the same fundamental function, this operator may have this property.

For instance, the inclusion of the Lorentz space $\Lambda(t^{1/p})$ into the space L_p , where $1 < p < \infty$, has the DSS property. Indeed, it is easy to show that any sequence of normalized disjoint functions in the Lorentz space contains a subsequence equivalent to the standard basis in ℓ_1 . At the same time, any such sequence in L_p is equivalent to the standard basis in ℓ_p .

In the last section we show that, unlike (A), condition (B) is sufficient for the inclusion map $I: E \rightarrow F$ of arbitrary symmetric spaces E and F with fundamental functions φ and ψ , respectively, to be a DSS operator. Simultaneously, we establish that the inclusions of Marcinkiewicz spaces into Lorentz spaces have the DSS property, which is of importance on its own.

§3. Sufficiency of condition (B) for the operator $I: E \rightarrow F$ to have the DSS property

Theorem 4. Suppose that functions $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in G$ satisfy condition (A), $\delta_\varphi < 1$, and we have $M(\tilde{\varphi}) \subset \Lambda(\psi)$. Then the operator $I: M(\tilde{\varphi}) \rightarrow \Lambda(\psi)$ has the DSS property.

First, we prove the following auxiliary assertion.

Lemma. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4, there exists a function $\rho \in G$ such that

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(t)}{\varphi(t)} = 0$;
- (2) $M(\tilde{\rho}) \subset \Lambda(\psi)$.

Proof. Since $\delta_\varphi < 1$, [8, p. 156] implies that

$$\|x\|_{M(\tilde{\varphi})} \approx \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \{\varphi(t)x^*(t)\}.$$

Therefore, the relation $M(\tilde{\varphi}) \subset \Lambda(\psi)$ is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 \frac{d\psi(s)}{\varphi(s)} < \infty. \quad (11)$$

Since the function φ is concave, we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{d\psi(s)}{\varphi(s)} \approx \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(2^{-k}) - \psi(2^{-k-1})}{\varphi(2^{-k})};$$

hence (11) is equivalent to the condition

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(2^{-k}) - \psi(2^{-k-1})}{\varphi(2^{-k})} < \infty. \quad (12)$$

Put

$$a_k = \psi(2^{-k}) - \psi(2^{-k-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\varphi(2^{-k})}.$$

Then $S_n \rightarrow 0$, and [12, Chap. 3, Ex. 12] and (12) imply that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{S_k} \varphi(2^{-k})} < \infty. \quad (13)$$

By the definition of upper dilation, there exist $u > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that $\delta_\varphi + u < 1$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_\varphi(t) \leq Ct^{\delta_\varphi + u/2} \quad (14)$$

for all $t \geq 1$. Consider the sequence of numbers

$$g_0 = S_0, \quad g_k = \max(S_k, 2^{-u}g_{k-1}) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (15)$$

Suppose that $g = g(t)$ is a function linear on the intervals $[2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k}]$, $g(2^{-k}) = g_k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and $h(t) = \sqrt{g(t)}\varphi(t)$.

Since $\{S_k\}$ decreases, $\{g_k\}$ also decreases; therefore, the functions $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ increase on $(0, 1]$. It follows from (15) that, for $j \geq 0$ and $t \in (2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k}]$,

$$\frac{g(2^j t)}{g(t)} \leq \frac{g(2^{j-k})}{g(2^{-k-1})} = \frac{g_{k-j}}{g_{k+1}} = \frac{g_{k+1-(j+1)}}{g_{k+1}} \leq 2^{(j+1)u}.$$

Hence, by (14),

$$\mathcal{M}_h(2^j) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-j}} \frac{\varphi(2^j t) \sqrt{g(2^j t)}}{\varphi(t) \sqrt{g(t)}} \leq C 2^{u/2} 2^{(\delta_\varphi + u)j} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots$$

This implies that $\delta_h < 1$, because $\delta_\varphi + u < 1$. Therefore, according to [8, p. 78], the function $h(t)$ is equivalent to its least concave majorant; we denote this majorant by $\rho(t)$.

The function ρ belongs to G and $\rho(2^{-k}) \approx h(2^{-k}) = \sqrt{g_k} \varphi(2^{-k})$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; in addition, since $g_k \geq S_k$, it follows from (13) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\rho(2^{-k})} \leq C_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{S_k} \varphi(2^{-k})} < \infty.$$

This is equivalent to $M(\tilde{\rho}) \subset \Lambda(\psi)$; the equivalence is proved in the same way as for the function φ (see (11) and (12)).

Finally, relation (15) gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(t)}{\varphi(t)} \leq C_1 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{g(t)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{g_k} = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. By the lemma, there exists a function $\rho \in G$ such that

$$M(\tilde{\varphi}) \subset M(\tilde{\rho}) \subset \Lambda(\psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho(t)}{\varphi(t)} = 0.$$

According to Corollary 2, the operator $I: M(\tilde{\varphi}) \rightarrow M(\tilde{\rho})$ has the DSS property; all the more, it has this property when regarded as an operator from $M(\tilde{\varphi})$ into $\Lambda(\psi)$.

This proves Theorem 4. $\square$

Theorem 4 makes it possible to prove the sufficiency of condition (B) for the identity inclusion operator from an SS E into an SS F with fundamental functions φ and ψ , respectively, to have the DSS property.

Theorem 5. Suppose that functions $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in G$ satisfy condition (B) and E and F are symmetric spaces with fundamental functions φ and ψ , respectively. Then $E \subset F$ and $I: E \rightarrow F$ is a DSS-operator.

Proof. Let us verify that the assumptions of Theorem 4 hold, i.e., that $\delta_\varphi < 1$ and that

$$M(\tilde{\varphi}) \subset \Lambda(\psi). \tag{16}$$

First, condition (B) implies the existence of $u > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\psi(ts)\varphi(s)}{\psi(s)\varphi(ts)} \leq Ct^u \tag{17}$$

whenever $0 < t \leq 1$ and $0 < s \leq 1$. This and the concavity of ψ give

$$\mathcal{M}_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \leq C \mathcal{M}_\psi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) t^u = Ct^{u-1};$$

therefore, $\delta_\varphi \leq 1 - u < 1$.

Next, since the function $x^*(t)$ decreases, we have

$$x^*(t) \leq \frac{\|x\|_{M(\tilde{\varphi})}}{\varphi(t)} \quad \text{if } x \in M(\tilde{\varphi}) \text{ and } 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Therefore, to prove (16), it suffices to verify that $1/\varphi \in \Lambda(\psi)$.

By (17), $\psi(t)/\varphi(t) \leq C_1 t^u$. Hence $\psi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\varphi} \right\|_{\Lambda(\psi)} = \int_0^1 \frac{\psi'(t)}{\varphi(t)} dt \leq \int_0^1 \frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \frac{dt}{t} \leq C_1 u^{-1} < \infty.$$

This proves (16). The above-mentioned extremality of the Lorentz and Marcinkiewicz spaces in the class of symmetric spaces with the same fundamental function [8] implies that $E \subset M(\tilde{\varphi}) \subset \Lambda(\psi) \subset F$. Therefore, $I: E \rightarrow F$ has the DSS property, because it has this property as an operator from $M(\tilde{\varphi})$ into $\Lambda(\psi)$ by Theorem 4.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5. $\square$

Remark 4. Theorem 5 was proved in [7] for Orlicz spaces under a condition on the functions φ and ψ somewhat more restrictive than (B), namely, the inequality $\delta_\varphi < \gamma_\psi$.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to S. Ya. Novikov for useful discussions.

References

1. A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin (1980).
2. A. Grothendieck, "Sur certains sous-espaces vectoriels de L^p ," *Canad. J. Math.*, **6**, 158–160 (1954).
3. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1973).
4. V. A. Rodin and E. M. Semenov, "Rademacher series in symmetric spaces," *Anal. Math.*, **1**, No. 3, 207–222 (1975).
5. F. L. Hernandez and B. Rodriguez-Salinas, "On ℓ^p -complemented copies in Orlicz spaces. 2," *Israel J. Math.*, **68**, 27–55 (1989).
6. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ., Cambridge (1960).
7. F. L. Hernandez, "Disjointly strictly-singular operators," *Proc. 19th Winter School on Analysis (Srni). Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys.*, **31**, 35–49 (1990).
8. S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1978).
9. M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutitskii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces* [in Russian], Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1958).
10. S. Ya. Novikov, "A characteristic of subspaces of a symmetric space," in: *Studies in the Theory of Functions of Several Variables* [in Russian], Yaroslav. Gos. Univ., Yaroslavl' (1980), pp. 140–148.
11. S. Ya. Novikov, "Boundary spaces for the inclusion map between rearrangement invariant spaces," *Proc. 3rd Conf. Function Spaces (Poznan). Collect. Math.*, **44**, 211–215 (1993).
12. W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1964).

SAMARA STATE UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: astashkin@univer.samara.su